

## X. Other – Deposit Production Offices

---

whether a bank is complying with the provisions of section 109. Section 109 provides a two-step test for determining compliance with the prohibition against interstate deposit production offices:

1. Loan-to-deposit ratio. The first step involves a loan-to-deposit (LTD) ratio screen, which is designed to measure the lending and deposit activities of covered interstate branches. The LTD ratio screen compares the bank's statewide LTD ratio to the host State LTD ratio. If the bank's statewide LTD ratio is at least one-half of the relevant host State LTD ratio, the bank passes the section 109 evaluation and no further review is required. Host State ratios are prepared, and made public, by the agencies annually. For the most recent ratios, *see* OCC bulletins, FDIC Financial Institution Letters, or FRB Press Releases.
2. Credit needs determination. The second step is a credit needs determination that is conducted if a bank fails the LTD ratio screen or if the LTD ratio cannot be calculated due to insufficient data or due to data that are not reasonably available. This step requires the examiner to review the activities of the bank, such as its lending activity and performance under the CRA, in order to determine whether the bank is reasonably helping to meet the credit needs of the communities served by the bank in the host State. Banks may provide the examiner with any relevant information including loan data, if a credit needs determination is performed.

Although Section 109 specifically requires the examiner to consider a bank's CRA rating when making a credit needs determination, a bank's CRA rating should not be the only factor considered. However, since most of the other factors (*see* procedure for Credit Needs Determination) are taken into account as part of a bank's performance context under CRA, it is expected that banks with a satisfactory or better CRA rating will receive a favorable credit needs determination. Banks with a less than satisfactory CRA rating may receive an adverse credit needs determination unless mitigated by the other factors enumerated in section 109. To ensure consistency, compliance with Section 109 generally should be reviewed in conjunction with the evaluation of a bank's CRA performance.

With respect to institutions designated as wholesale or limited purpose banks, a credit needs determination should consider a bank's performance using the appropriate CRA performance test provided in the CRA regulations. For banks not subject to CRA, including certain special purpose banks and uninsured branches of foreign banks,<sup>3</sup> the examiner should use the CRA regulations only as a guideline when making a credit needs determination for such institutions. Section 109 does not

obligate the institution to have a record of performance under the CRA nor does it require the institution to pass any CRA performance tests.

### Enforcement and Sanctions

Before a bank can be sanctioned under section 109, the appropriate agency is required to demonstrate that the bank failed to comply with the LTD ratio screen and failed to reasonably help meet the credit needs of the communities served by the bank in the host State. Since the bank must fail both the LTD ratio screen and the credit needs determination in order to be in noncompliance with Section 109, the agencies have an obligation to apply the LTD ratio screen before seeking sanctions, regardless of the regulatory burden imposed. Thus, if a bank receives an adverse credit needs determination, the LTD ratio screen must be applied even if the data necessary to calculate the appropriate ratio are not readily available. Consequently, the agencies are required to obtain the necessary data to calculate the bank's statewide LTD ratio before sanctions are imposed.

If a bank fails both steps of the section 109 evaluation, the statute outlines sanctions that the appropriate agency can impose. The sanctions are:

- (i) ordering the closing of the interstate branch in the host State; and
- (ii) prohibiting the bank from opening a new branch in the host State.

Sanctions, however, may not be warranted if a bank provides reasonable assurances to the satisfaction of the appropriate agency that it has an acceptable plan that will reasonably help to meet the credit needs of the communities served, or to be served. An examiner should consult with the RO before discussing possible sanctions with any bank. Also, before sanctions are imposed, the agencies stated in the preamble to the final 1997 regulation that they intend to consult with State banking authorities.

### Examination Objective

To ensure that a bank is not operating a covered interstate branch(es), as defined, primarily for the purpose of deposit production, by determining if the bank meets (i) the loan-to-deposit (LTD) ratio screen, or (ii) the credit needs determination requirements of section 109 of IBBEA.

### Examination Procedures

Examples of covered interstate branches can be found at the end of this section.

### Identification of Covered Interstate Branches

1. *Banks controlled by an out-of-State bank holding company.*

---

<sup>3</sup> A special purpose bank that does not perform commercial or retail banking services by granting credit to the public in the ordinary course of business is not evaluated for CRA performance by the agencies. In addition, branches of a foreign bank, unless the branches are insured or resulted from an acquisition as described in the International Banking Act, 12 USC 3101 et seq., are not evaluated for CRA performance by the agencies.